

**REMARKS**

The present non-narrowing amendment places the rejected claims in better form for appeal. In response to the Final Office Action, dated November 27, 2007, the Applicants file an amendment to claim 1 addressing the Examiner's 112 second paragraph rejection which indicated that the claim recites the limitation "the mobile telecommunications device" in line 6 without sufficient antecedent basis in the claim. The prior amendments deleted the term "mobile telecommunications device" and "device" throughout the claims in favor of the term "apparatus" but inadvertently left one instance of "mobile telecommunications device" in claim 1. The present amendment addresses that objection and places the claims in better form for consideration on appeal. As such, the amendments are consistent with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 (b)(2) and 37 C.F.R. § 41.33(a).

In light of the forgoing remarks and arguments, entry of this amendment is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

**BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.**

Dated: April 8, 2008

By: /Jeffrey M. Cox/  
Jeffrey M. Cox  
Registration No. 50,695

Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.  
10 South Wacker Drive  
Suite 3000  
Chicago, IL 60606  
Tel: (312) 463-5000  
Fax: (312) 463-5001